

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/798,192	SNYDER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David Guzo	1636	

All Participants:

Status of Application: amended

(1) David Guzo.

(3) _____.

(2) Nicholas Zachariades.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
11 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to claims 11 and 17 which would place the application in condition for allowance. The examiner faxed a copy of the amendment to applicants' representative and will await a reply.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)